

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 160392

62

ORIGIN EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 DODE-00 PM-07 CIAE-00 INR-11 L-03

ACDA-19 NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 USIA-15

TRSE-00 SAJ-01 /091 R

DRAFTED BY OASD/ISA:CDR BOZZELLI:MEM

APPROVED BY EUR/RPM:LTC RTHOMPSON

OASD/ISA:MGEN MCAULIFFE

OASD/PA AND E: MR. WOODS

JCS/J-5: COL FYE (INFO)

ODDR AND E: COL EAGLETT

PM/ISP:CDR CARTER (INFO)

----- 107724

R 241728Z JUL 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION NATO

INFO USCINCEUR

USNMR SHAPE

CINCLANT

USLO SACLANT

CINCUSNAVEUR

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 160392

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS:MCAP, NATO

SUBJECT: NATO RATIONALIZATION STUDY

REFS: A. USNATO 3739; B. USNATO 3630; C. USNATO 2968

1. YOUR COMMENTS (REFS A AND B) WERE MOST HELPFUL IN PREPARING REVISED PAGES WHICH WE ARE SENDING YOU TO INCLUDE IN NATO VERSION OF THE STUDY BEFORE SUBMITTING IT TO THE EWG.

REVISED PAGES ARE: 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 14, 15, C-1-3, C-1-4,

D-1-3, E-3-2, E-3-11, E-4-8, AND A NEW PAGE 16. UPON

INCORPORATION OF THESE PAGES AND MAKING CHANGES BELOW, STUDY IS RELEASABLE TO NATO AS NATO SECRET.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 160392

2. THESE REVISIONS INCORPORATE MOST OF YOUR SUGGESTIONS. HOWEVER, WE HAVE RETAINED SOME OF THE DISADVANTAGES IN VARIOUS PROPOSALS IN ORDER TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THE US WILL BE AFFECTED BY THESE CHANGES, (PARAS 1A AND 1F OF REFERENCE A). ADDITIONALLY, WE HAVE NOT MODIFIED SOME PROPOSALS WHICH MIGHT PRESENT PROBLEMS OF COMPLETE IMPLEMENTATION IN ORDER THAT NATO MIGHT ADDRESS THE PROBLEM AND OBTAIN MORE COMPLETE INFORMATION RATHER THAN HAVE THE US UNILATERALLY DELETE OR MODIFY THE PROPOSALS (PARAS 1B(1) AND 1D OF REFERENCE A; PARAS 2G, 2H AND 2I OF REFERENCE B). REGARDING THOSE APPARENT INCONSISTENCIES IN OPERATING COSTS CITED IN PARA 1G OF REFERENCE A, OUR FIGURES WERE OBTAINED FROM DPQ REPLIES AND REFLECT DIFFERENT NATIONAL PAY SCALES AND OPERATING POLICIES.

3. YOU SHOULD ALSO MAKE THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL CHANGES:

A. PAGE 7, FOURTH ASTERISK: CHANGE SUBPARA TO READ: QTE SETTING UP AN IMPROVED NATO AIR CONTROL SYSTEM FOR AIR DEFENSE, AIR-TO-GROUND AND GROUND-TO-AIR OPERATIONS END QTE. DELETE THE REMAINDER OF SUBPARAGRAPH.

B. PAGE 11, LAST LINE OF PAGE: CHANGE TO READ QTE 10 AWACS AIRCRAFT IN CENTRAL EUROPE, ASSUMING US FURNISHED OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE SERVICES FOR NATO DEDICATED AWACS. THE TOTAL COST OVER FIVE YEARS FOR END QTE.

C. PAGE E-3-1, PARA 3: DELETE BEGINNING QTE NATO UNQTE (LINE 5) THROUGH QTE AWACS UNQTE (LINE 7) AND SUBSTITUTE QTE INTEGRATED WITH NATO AIR DEFENSE GROUND ENVIRONMENT (NADGE) SITES AND AVAILABLE US AND NATO AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS) AIRCRAFT END QTE.

D. SUBSTITUTE PAGE E-3-2, PARA 1: DELETE BEGINNING QTE US UNQTE (LINE 2) THROUGH QTE PEACETIME UNQTE (LINE 3) AND SUBSTITUTE QTE AWACS AND US TACS. EACH CONTINUOUS AIRBORNE STATION REQUIRES FROM 4 TO 6 AWACS DEPENDING UPON DISTANCE FROM BASE TO ORBIT POSITION. THIS PROPOSAL PROVIDES FOR OPERATION OF TWO CONTINUOUS ORBITS DURING PEACETIME OR PERIODS OF TENSION. END QTE.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 160392

E. SUBSTITUTE PAGE E-3-2, PARA 1: DELETE BEGINNING QTE INTEGRATION UNQTE (LINE 7) THROUGH QTE FIXED UNQTE (LINE 7) AND SUBSTITUTE QTE SYSTEM WOULD BE ACCOMPLISHED THROUGH STANDARDIZED END QTE.

F. SUBSTITUTE PAGE E-3-2, LINE 11: INSERT QTE OPERATIONS UNQTE, AFTER QTE AWACS UNQTE,.

G. SUBSTITUTE PAGE E-3-2: ADD TO LIST OF ADVANTAGES QTE
INCREASED EFFECTIVENESS OF US AND NATO AWACS EMPLOYMENTS
END QTE.

H. PAGE E-3-1: CHANGE TITLE OF LAST COLUMN OF TABLE 1 TO
QTE AWACS O AND M END QTE.

I. SUBSTITUTE PAGE E-4-8: TABLE AT TOP OF PAGE. CHANGE
FIGURES AS FOLLOWS:

CHANGE O-6 TO 9-14
CHANGE O-480 TO 340-520
CHANGE O-20 TO 24-37

J. SUBSTITUTE PAGE E-4-8, PARA 1: DELETE BEGINNING QTE

CURRENTLY UNQTE (LINE 8) THROUGH QTE COSTS UNQTE (LINE 16)
AND SUBSTITUTE QTE CURRENTLY THE BRITISH ARE CONSIDERING
PURCHASING A FORCE OF AWACS, POSSIBLY WITH SOME MODIFICA-
TIONS END QTE.

4. YOU SHOULD SUBMIT THE REVISED STUDY TO NATO AT THE
FIRST OPPORTUNITY WITHIN THE NEXT TWO WEEKS. OUR IMMEDI-
ATE OBJECTIVES ARE TO ACHIEVE GENERAL ACCEPTANCE OF MATRIX
APPROACH AND TO IMPROVE COST AND PERSONNEL DATA. WE DO
NOT WISH TO DELAY THE RATIONALIZATION STUDY BECAUSE OF
DISAGREEMENTS OVER DEFINITIONS (REFERENCE C). IF THE
BROADEST DEFINITIONS CANNOT BE ACCEPTED, WE PREFER GETTING
ON WITH EXPLORATION OF THE MATRIX AND ITS PROPOSALS BASED
UPON A VERY GENERAL UNDERSTANDING OF THE CONCEPTS OF
RATIONALIZATION AND SPECIALIZATION.

5. PRELIMINARY DISCUSSIONS PRIOR TO THE SUMMER RECESS
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 160392

WILL PERMIT NATIONAL CAPITALS TO EXAMINE THE MATRIX AND
SPECIFIC PROPOSALS SO THAT SUBSTANTIVE DISCUSSIONS CAN
BEGIN UPON THE RETURN OF THE DELEGATES. WE WISH TO AVOID
HAVING ANY ONE NATION IMPEDE THIS EXAMINATION AND TRUST
THAT WE CAN OBTAIN THE INITIAL SUPPORT OF THOSE NATIONS
WHICH ARE FACING DEFENSE SPENDING DIFFICULTIES, OR WHO
HAVE INDICATED SUPPORT FOR RATIONALIZATION (THE
NETHERLANDS, THE UK AND THE FRG). WE WELCOME YOUR
COMMENTS ON THE POSSIBILITIES OF OBTAINING THIS SUPPORT
AND FORESTALLING EARLY DIFFICULTIES WITH THE MATRIX.

KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: RESEARCH, DEFENSIVE CAPABILITIES, CLASSIFIED INFORMATION
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 24 JUL 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE160392
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: CDR BOZZELLI:MEM
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740201-0131
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740721/aaaaarok.tel
Line Count: 166
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. USNATO 3739; B. USNATO 3630; C. U, SNATO 2968
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 05 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <05 APR 2002 by worrelsw>; APPROVED <24 JUN 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NATO RATIONALIZATION STUDY
TAGS: MCAP, US, NATO
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005